

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/009,583	•	03/19/2002	Louise Georgina Buttle	8830-10 (157952)	1753
23973	7590	04/05/2004		EXAMINER	
DRINKER	BIDDLE	E & REATH	YOUNG, MICAH PAUL		
ONE LOGAN SQUARE 18TH AND CHERRY STREETS				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-6996				1615	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/009,583	BUTTLE, LOUISE GEORGINA					
•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Micah-Paul Young	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The e date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension					
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai CFR 1.704(b).	originally set in the final Office action; or ing date of the final rejection, even if					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	etion(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the					
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 		o issues which were newly					
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-4, and 12</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>							
		Micah-Paul Young Examiner Art Unit: 1615					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 10. Other: Upon further review of the specification, there does not seem to be a patentable distinction made between those feeds containing cholesterol and those not containing chloesterol. The effect of the cholesterol is not fully explained or established in the specification of in the claims. The examples cite statistical data, yet the data points have SD overlaps which on face value bring into question the importance of the cholesterol. The importance of the cholesterol in the uptake enhancement of the pigment must be more clearly pointyed out and claimed in order for the prosceution to continue further.

THURMAN K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTEB 1800